



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,594	01/28/2004	Ling Tony Chen	13768.810.65	3876

47973 7590 11/27/2007  
WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT  
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER
----------

BOND, CHRISTOPHER H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3714

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/27/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

CT

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,594	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher H. Bond	3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Christopher H. Bond. (3) Greg Lunt.  
 (2) John Hotaling (Primary Examiner). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leen USPAT 6,884,166; Kneple WO 01/61601.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**JOHN M. HOTALING, II**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicants' representative briefly discussed the amendments to claims 1 and 32 and how the claimed invention was different than and distinguishable from the prior art of record. The applicants' representative provided the Examiner with both the amended claims and arguments. The applicants' representative's discussion during the telephone interview was consistent with the submitted arguments. The applicants' representative has presented the Examiner with enough information so that further considerations and decisions can be made. After a subsequent search and further examination, the Examiner will consider all of the arguments and amendments that have been presented. No agreement was reached on patentability. Key issues discussed, without being too specific included: automatically establishing a trust rating in the case of dispute, receiving the alleged results from players participating in the gaming session, and determining the official result for the gaming session based on the alleged result.